

County Council 7 April 2021

Schedule of Business

Page

OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL - 7 APRIL 2021

SCHEDULE OF BUSINESS

VIRTUAL MEETING

AGEND A ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
1.	2		10.00	Apologies for Absence	Field-Johnson
2.	2			Declarations of Interest	
3.	2			Official Communications • Extraordinary meeting	
4.	2			Appointments	

AGEND A ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder;
5.	2		10.10	Petitions and Public Address	
6.	2	50 mins		Motion by Richard Webber 5 minutes to move, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Webber (SEC) Buckley (AM) Bartholomew (SEC) Carter S Phillips S Leffman S Fawcett S R Smith S Sudbury S Bearder S Roberts S Rooke S Hannaby S Hanna S E Smith S Harris

	U
	മ
(\mathbf{Q}
	Φ
	ယ

AGEND A ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
7.	3	25mins	11.00	Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves 5 minutes to move, 5 mins to move amendment, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Reeves (SEC) Mallon (AM) Cherry (SEC) Banfield S Hannaby S Hanna S Harris S Reynolds
8.	3	25 mins	11.25	Motion by Councillor Susanna Pressel 5 minutes to move, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Pressel (SEC) J Sanders S Haywood S Turnbull S Brighouse S R Smith S Harris S Constance

٦	C
مر	Š
d	•
1	_

AGEND A ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
9.	4	40 mins	11.50	Motion by Councillor Stefan Gawrysiak 5 minutes to move, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Gawrysiak (SEC) Webber (AM) Hibbert-Biles (SEC) S Haywood S Turnbull S Harris S Bartington S Constance S Bartholomew S Reynolds S Gray S Corkin S Fenton S Walker
10.	4	35 mins	12.30	Motion by Councillor Damian Haywood 5 minutes to move, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Haywood (SEC) Lygo S Pressel S J Sanders S Turnbull S Afridi S R Smith S Harris S Bartington S Constance

ס
ā
ge
Ü
Ω

AGEND A ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder;
11.	5	30 mins	1.05	Motion by Councillor Arash Fatemian 5 minutes to move, 3 minutes for each speaker – Council Procedure Rule 15.4.2.	(M) Fatemian (SEC) Fox-Davies S Price S Afridi S Brighouse S Fawcett S Sudbury S E Smith S Harris S Heathcoat

This page is intentionally left blank

AMENDMENTS TO MOTIONS ON NOTICE

Agenda Item 6 - Motion by Councillor Richard Webber - Amendment to be moved by Councillor David Bartholomew

"The Council's Procurement procedures have been the subject of concern for some time. At the latest Audit and Governance Committee meeting, the subject of a claim made over a breach of Procurement procedures by the Council was considered. This breach of procedure has cost the Council, and hence Oxfordshire taxpayers, £1.6 million in compensation and legal costs.

Council notes that the role of the Audit & Governance Committee is to ensure that the Council's procedures are robust, that taxpayer's money is controlled properly and that it is spent wisely.

The timeline provided to the Audit and Governance Committee meeting on this matter shows that the Portfolio Holder and the Chair of Audit and Governance were both aware of the breach and cost of out of court settlement in February 2020, but and as an independent report was in progress, the Audit Working Group Committee was only informed in December 2020. January 2021. Council believes that by withholding this information from the Audit and Governance Committee for 11 months, the Executive failed to act in the interest of Oxfordshire taxpayers.

Council commits to ensuring that, in future, in the interests of transparency and good governance, any breaches of procedure are made known to members of the Audit and Governance Committee as soon as they are known to the Executive, and that committee members are allowed to see any reports relating to such breaches of procedure (redacted as necessary), following any reasonable request from members of that committee and assuming there is no legal reason why such documents should be withheld.

Agenda Item 7 – Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves – Amendment to be moved by Councillor Mark Cherry

"This Council fully recognises the value of the much-loved Horton General Hospital to the residents of Banbury and its surrounding catchment area, which uniquely covers four counties.

Local efforts to retain acute services at the Horton have been welcomed by Councils at all tiers in recent months and by community groups and residents alike. This Council's position has always been that the Horton's future should be as a fully functioning General Hospital complementing the world-class services at both the John Radcliffe Hospital and Churchill Hospital so as to build

on Oxfordshire's enviable reputation – both nationally and internationally – as a centre for excellence in healthcare. That remains unequivocally the case today.

This Council is encouraged that Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (OUHFT) and the Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group (OCCG) have listened to the strong representations of residents, Councillors and community groups (notably, Keep the Horton General) in recent years. and those of Victoria Prentis MP and

Tthis Council welcomes steps taken by both OUHFT and OCCG to develop a masterplan for the Horton without delay.

For its part, This Council resolves to do all it can to support the advancement of this vision and commits to reviewing options with Councils at other tiers with a view to supporting OUHFT and CCG-led redevelopment plans so as to deliver an improved facility on the hospital's existing site or at a new and improved one within the Banbury area that is accessible to residents across the Horton's unique four-county catchment area."

Agenda Item 9 – Motion by Councillor Stefan Gawrysiak – Amendment to be moved by Councillor Hilary Hibbert-Biles

"The County Council will consider environmental weight restrictions across the County, particularly areas which are subject to significant levels of HGV traffic, prioritising the towns of Burford, Chipping Norton and Henley-on-Thames. However, the county council is very unlikely to have any funding available for this in the coming years so any schemes would need to be funded through development and/or by local communities, businesses and town/parish councils.

This policy clearly states that Henley is subject to significantly high levels of HGV traffic. Henley is also an AQMA area which means we have significant pollution.

Council calls upon the Corporate Director for Environment and Place to complete the necessary studies *for an environmental* weight restriction for Henley, in the event that funding to cover the whole cost is secured through development and/or by local communities, businesses and town/parish councils and would not fall on the OCC."